

# Amphibians 2004

(includes publications since the last list and some that were not included in the last list)

Compiled by P. Hansen

Bee, M. A. (2002). Territorial male bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) do not assess fighting ability based on size-related variation in acoustic signals. *Behav. Ecol.*, **13**, 109-124.

Bee, M. A. (2003). A test of the "dear enemy effect" in the strawberry dart-poison frog (*Dendrobates pumilio*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **54**, 601-610.

Bee, M. A. & Gerhardt, H. C. (2002). Individual voice recognition in a territorial frog (*Rana catesbeiana*). *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B.*, **269**, 1443-1448.

Bee, M. A., Kozich, C. E., Blackwell, K. J. & Gerhardt, H. C. (2001). Individual variation in advertisement calls of territorial male green frogs, *Rana clamitans*: Implications for individual discrimination. *Ethology*, **107**, 65-84.

Bee, M. A., Perrill, S. A. & Owen, P. C. (2000). Male green frogs lower the pitch of acoustic signals in defense of territories: a possible dishonest signal of size? *Behav. Ecol.*, **11**, 169-177.

Boistel, R. & Sueur, J. (2002). Female calls among Amphibia Anura: an exceptional sound behaviour? *Bioacoustics*, **13**, 80-81.

Bosch, J. & Boyero, L. (2004). Reproductive stage and phonotactic preferences of female midwife toads (*Alytes cisternasii*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **55**, 251-256.

Bosch, J. & Marquez, R. (2000). Acoustical interference in the advertisement calls of the midwife toads (*Alytes obstetricans* and *Alytes cisternasii*). *Behaviour*, **137**, 249-263.

Bosch, J. & Marquez, R. (2000). Tympanum fluctuating asymmetry, body size and mate choice in female midwife toads (*Alytes obstetricans*). *Behaviour*, **137**, 1211-1222.

Bosch, J. & Marquez, R. (2002). Female preference function related to precedence effect in an amphibian anuran (*Alytes cisternasii*): tests with non-overlapping calls. *Behav. Ecol.*, **13**, 149-153.

Bosch, J., Marquez, R. & Boyero, L. (2003). Behavioural patterns, preference, and motivation of female midwife toads during phonotaxis tests. *J. Ethol.*, **21**, 61-66.

Bourne, G. R. & York, H. (2001). Vocal behaviors are related to nonrandom structure of anuran breeding assemblages in Guyana. *Ethol. Ecol. Evol.*, **13**, 313-329.

Brahic, C. J. & Kelley, D. B. (2003). Vocal circuitry in *Xenopus laevis*: Telencephalon to laryngeal motor neurons. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, **464**, 115-130.

Burmeister, S. S., Ophir, A. G., Ryan, M. J. & Wilczynski, W. (2002). Information transfer during cricket frog contests. *Anim. Behav.*, **64**, 715-725.

Castellano, S., Cuatto, B., Rinella, R., Rosso, A. & Giacoma, C. (2002). The advertisement call of the European treefrogs (*Hyla arborea*): A multilevel study of variation. *Ethology*, **108**, 75-89.

Castellano, S., Rosso, A. & Giacoma, C. (2004). Active choice, passive attraction and the cognitive machinery of acoustic preferences. *Anim. Behav.*, **68**, 323-329.

Castellano, S., Rosso, A., Laoretti, F., Doglio, S. & Giacoma, C. (2000). Call intensity and female preferences in the European green toad. *Ethology*, **106**, 1129-1141.

Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Ludwig, T. A. & Narins, P. M. (2002). Call diversity in an Old World treefrog: Level dependence and latency of acoustic responses. *Bioacoustics*, **13**, 21-35.

- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Ludwig, T. A. & Narins, P. M. (2002). Complex vocal communication in the Southeast Asian frog *Polypedates leucomystax*. *Bioacoustics*, **13**, 80.
- Diego-Rasilla, F. J. & Luengo, R. M. (2004). Heterospecific call recognition and phonotaxis in the orientation behavior of the marbled newt, *Triturus marmoratus*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **55**, 556-560.
- Dijk, P. van, Narins, P. M. & Mason, M. J. (2003). Physiological vulnerability of distortion product otoacoustic emissions from the amphibian ear. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **114**, 2044-2048.
- Feng, A. I., Narins, P. I. & Xu, C. H. (2002). Vocal acrobatics in a Chinese frog, *Amolops tormotus*. *Naturwissenschaften*, **89**, 352-356.
- Gerhardt, H. C. & Huber, F. (2002). *Acoustic Communication in Insects and Anurans: Common Problems and Diverse Solutions*. University of Chicago Press; Chicago.
- Grafe, U. (1999). A function of synchronous chorusing and a novel female preference shift in an anuran. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B.*, **266**, 2331-2336.
- Grafe, T. U. (2003). Synchronized interdigitated calling in the Kuvango running frog, *Kassina kuvangensis*. *Anim. Behav.*, **66**, 127-136.
- Grafe, T. U., Doebler, S. & Linsenmair, K. E. (2002). Frogs flee from the sound of fire. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B.*, **269**, 999-1003.
- Kime, N. M., Turner, W. R. & Ryan, M. J. (2000). The transmission of advertisement calls in Central American frogs. *Behav. Ecol.*, **11**, 71-83.
- Lardner, B. & Lakim, M. B. (2004). Female call preferences in tree-hole frogs: why are there so many unattractive males? *Anim. Behav.*, **68**, 265-272.
- Leary, C. J., Jessop, T. S., Garcia, A. M. & Knapp, R. (2004). Steroid hormone profiles and relative body condition of calling and satellite toads: implications for proximate regulation of behavior in anurans. *Behav. Ecol.*, **15**, 313-320.
- Lode, T. & Le Jacques, D. (2003). Influence of advertisement calls on reproductive success in the male midwife toad *Alytes obstetricans*. *Behaviour*, **140**, 885-898.
- Lueddecke, H. (2002). Male and female responses to call playback in the Andean frog *Colostethus subpunctatus*. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, **23**, 141-150.
- Lueddecke, H. & Sanchez, O. R. (2002). Are tropical highland frog calls cold-adapted? The case of the Andean frog *Hyla labialis*. *Biotropica*, **34**, 281-288.
- Marquez, R. & Bosch, J. (2003). Temporal encoding of information in the advertisement call of the Iberian midwife toad (*Alytes cisternasii*): The importance of rise time. *Behaviour*, **140**, 113-123.
- Marshall, V. T., Humfeld, S. C. & Bee, M. A. (2003). Plasticity of aggressive signalling and its evolution in male spring peepers, *Pseudacris crucifer*. *Anim. Behav.*, **65**, 1223-1234.
- Martins, I. A. & Sim, J. (2003). Bioacoustic analysis of advertisement call in *Hyla nana* and *Hyla sanborni* (Anura, Hylidae) in Botucatu, Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Brazilian J. Biol.*, **63**, 507-516.
- Murphy, C. G. (2003). The cause of correlations between nightly numbers of male and female barking treefrogs (*Hyla gratiosa*) attending choruses. *Behav. Ecol.*, **14**, 274-281.
- Murphy, C. G. & Gerhardt, H. C. (2002). Mate sampling by female barking treefrogs (*Hyla gratiosa*). *Behav. Ecol.*, **13**, 472-480.

Narins, P. M., Feng, A. S., Lin, W., Schnitzler, H.-U., Denzinger, A., Suthers, R. A. & Xu, C. (2004). Old World frog and bird vocalizations contain prominent ultrasonic harmonics. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **115**, 910-913.

Narins, P. M., Hoedl, W. & Grabul, D. S. (2003). Bimodal signal requisite for agonistic behavior in a dart-poison frog, *Epipedobates femoralis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **100**, 577-580.

Penna, M. (2004). Amplification and spectral shifts of vocalizations inside burrows of the frog *Eupsophus calcaratus* (Leptodactylidae). *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **116**, 1254-1260.

Proehl, H. (2003). Variation in male calling behaviour and relation to male mating success in the strawberry poison frog (*Dendrobates pumilio*). *Ethology*, **109**, 273-290.

Rose, G. J. & Brenowitz, E. A. (2002). Pacific treefrogs use temporal integration to differentiate advertisement from encounter calls. *Anim. Behav.*, **63**, 1183-1190.

Rosenthal, G. G., Rand, A. S. & Ryan, M. J. (2004). The vocal sac as a visual cue in anuran communication: an experimental analysis using video playback. *Anim. Behav.*, **68**, 55-58.

Schneider, H. (1988). Peripheral and central mechanisms of vocalization. In *The evolution of the amphibian auditory system* (B. Fritzsch, M. J. Ryan, W. Wilczynski, T. E. Hetherington and W. Walkowiak, eds.). Wiley; New York, Chichester, Brisbane, pp. 537-558.

Schul, J. & Bush, S. L. (2002). Non-parallel coevolution of sender and receiver in the acoustic communication system of treefrogs. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B.*, **269**, 1847-1852.

Schwartz, J. J., Buchanan, B. W. & Gerhardt, H. (2002). Acoustic interactions among male gray treefrogs, *Hyla versicolor*, in a chorus setting. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **53**, 9-19.

Simmons, A. M. (2004). Call recognition in the bullfrog, *Rana catesbeiana*: Generalization along the duration continuum. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **115**, 1345-1355.

Smith, M. J. & Roberts, J. D. (2003). Call structure may affect male mating success in the quacking frog *Crinia georgiana* (Anura: Myobatrachidae). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **53**, 221-226.

Smith, M. J. & Roberts, J. D. (2003). An experimental examination of female preference patterns for components of the male advertisement call in the quacking frog, *Crinia georgiana*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **55**, 144-150. (+ erratum, **55**, 512).

Souza, M. B. de & Haddad, C. F. B. (2003). Redescription and reevaluation of the generic status of *Leptodactylus dantasi* (Amphibia, Anura, Leptodactylidae) and description of its unusual advertisement call. *J. Herpetol.*, **37**, 490-497.

Sun, L., Wilczynski, W., Rand, A. S. & Ryan, M. J. (2000). Trade-off in short- and long-distance communication in tungara (*Physalaemus pustulosus*) and cricket (*Acris crepitans*) frogs. *Behav. Ecol.*, **11**, 102-109.

Tarano, Z. (2002). Vocal responses to conspecific call variation in the Neotropical frog *Physalaemus enesefae*. *J. Herpetol.*, **36**, 615-620.

Tarano, Z. & Herrera, E. A. (2003). Female preferences for call traits and male mating success in the Neotropical frog *Physalaemus enesefae*. *Ethology*, **109**, 121-134.

Tarano, Z. & Ryan, M. J. (2002). No pre-existing biases for heterospecific call traits in the frog *Physalaemus enesefae*. *Anim. Behav.*, **64**, 599-607.

Tobias, M. L., Barnard, C., O'Hagan, R., Horng, S. H., Rand, M. & Kelley, D. B. (2004). Vocal communication between male *Xenopus laevis*. *Anim. Behav.*, **67**, 353-365.

Zelick, D. D., Mann, D. A. & Popper, A. N. (1999). Acoustic communication in fishes and frogs. In

*Comparative Hearing: Fish and Amphibians.* Springer Handbook of Auditory Research, vol. 11 (R. R. Fay and A. N. Popper, eds.). Springer; New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 363-411.